

WELCOME . . .

... to the second issue of "The Gregg Geo News". The Geo News is being published to provide current information on the services we offer, equipment & technologies utilized and project experience.

The Geo News is distributed in electronic form which is emailed directly to you. If you wish to become a subscriber and are not currently on our mailing list simply visit our web site and register to receive email updates. You can also access this newsletter as well as previous issues of the Geo News through our web site at: www.greggdrilling.com.

QUICK FACT

Gregg Drilling has relocated their East Coast office to Atlanta, Georgia. This office is being managed by Joe Smith (jsmith@greggdrilling.com) and is located at:

1528 Highway 128
Monroe, GA 30655
Tel: (770)207-9722
Fax: (770)207-9729



PROJECT SPOTLIGHT

Gregg Drilling in Martinez, California is currently involved in a project at a former industrial facility in San Jose, California. Gregg has directed 3 hollow stem auger drill rigs and 3 well development rigs towards the installation of over 400 2" wells and over 30 6" wells with stainless steel well screens. Productivity is averaging approximately 150-180 lineal feet of well installation per rig per day. The project was started in September of 2006 and by early November we are approximately 2/3 complete.



Former Industrial Facility in San Jose



Well Development Rig



Mobile B-61 Drill Rig

Robertson's Remarks - Myths of the CPT -

Welcome to Robertson's Remarks! The previous 'Robertson's Remarks' covered a pet peeve of mine, that engineers/geologists often state: "The disadvantage of the CPT is that it does not collect soil samples". Hopefully, you now know that this is not necessarily true! If not, please review the last newsletter. In this 'Remarks', I would like to discuss another misconception - "The CPT is too expensive".

Traditionally the cost for site investigation was calculated as the cost per foot of drilling or testing. However, the unit of cost will influence the approach taken by the contractor. For example, when a site investigation is contracted based on a unit cost of drilling per foot there is a tendency for the contractor to want to drill as quickly as possible to maximize their revenue. They tend to dislike stops for sampling and/or testing, since this slows the drilling production. In some circumstances this may be acceptable, however, many investigations are a process of discovery where the goal is to identify the detailed stratigraphy and to determine the physical and mechanical properties of the individual soil layers. A better approach for this type of investigation may be a unit of cost per day of drilling. This would allow flexibility to stop and sample and/or test where and when appropriate, based on the risk of the project and the information gained during the investigation. Flexibility is often a key ingredient for a good site investigation. With a day rate, the client gets greater flexibility and control and the site investigation contractor gets greater certainty over the expected revenue for the work. *(Continued on following page)*



Dr. P.K. Robertson



Gregg Drilling (Southern California)
Signal Hill, CA
(562) 427-6899

Gregg Drilling (Northern California)
Martinez, CA
(925) 313-5800

Pitcher Drilling (Northern California)
Palo Alto, CA
(650) 328-8910

Robertson's Remarks continued...

In California, typical day rates for hollow-stem auger drilling for geotechnical investigations are around \$2,400 to \$3,200 per day, depending on the size of rig and number of samples. Cone Penetration Testing (CPT) using a customized truck can range from \$3,000 to \$4,000 per day depending on size of equipment and any special testing requirements, for example, seismic CPT or other special CPT modules.

A simple conclusion is that the CPT looks expensive compared to auger drilling based on the day rate. However, when you compare the production rate, data quality and other costs, the CPT is typically more cost effective. A typical production rate for customized CPT trucks is around 400 to 600 feet per day, depending on ground conditions, depth of soundings, distance between sounding locations and number of special tests (e.g. dissipation tests). A typical production rate for hollow-stem auger drilling with sampling at 5 foot intervals is around 160 feet per day, again depending on various factors. Hence, for an auger rig to complete 400 feet of investigation it may take 3 days at a total cost of around \$8,000, plus any additional cost for laboratory testing on the approximately 80 samples. On the other hand, the total cost for 400 feet of CPT along with plots and computer interpretation would be around \$3,500. This would include high quality, reliable data with over 2,400 data (sample) points from three independent measurements (tip, sleeve and pore pressure). As described in the previous newsletter, this could also include a small number (say 10) of selected soil samples. Therefore, you get high quality, near continuous CPT data along with selected soil samples in a more cost effective manner. Since typical mud rotary drilling rates are more than auger rates, the CPT is significantly more cost effective than mud rotary drilling, at least in ground that is suitable for CPT. In hard formations, mud rotary maybe the only method available.

Based on these numbers, it is clear that for large site investigation projects (many days of field work) in suitable ground conditions, the CPT is highly cost effective. For large projects, it maybe more effective, based on cost and data, to mix a lot of CPT with a small amount of selective drilling and sampling. For example, if a project requires somewhere in the order of 1,000 feet of geotechnical investigation (say 20 holes to 50 feet depth), a good approach is to first perform about 16 CPT's over a two day period to define the detailed soil stratigraphy and to identify critical zones/regions appropriate for the project. This could then be followed by one day of either hollow-stem (about 4 holes) or mud rotary drilling (about 2 holes), depending on ground conditions and project needs. The drilling would be carried out at selected locations (often close to completed CPT's) with selected sampling based on the detailed information from the CPT's. The drilling and sampling could then be used to verify the CPT interpretation and obtain a small number of high quality samples based on the ground conditions and project requirements. The most suitable sampling technique can also be selected based on the CPT results.

Where the CPT can appear more expensive than drilling and sampling is on small projects where only 1 to 4 holes are specified. In these cases, the CPT is often completed in less than a full day, but the contractor may wish to charge a full day. Ways to avoid this are to either arrange for the CPT truck to visit more than one project in the same day or to collect more data than you had originally planned, which is often a good idea!

Contact Peter with any questions or comments at: probertson@greggdrilling.com

Optimize That Foundation Design

by Virgil A. Baker

Techniques developed to characterize and evaluate geotechnical engineering properties of soils and rock while in their native environment is known as in situ testing. These techniques may lead to more focused site investigations, higher quality soil information, and more cost-effective and safe geotechnical designs. Geotechnical engineering parameters derived by in situ testing, specifically strength and stiffness, are not affected to the same degree of degradation and disturbance as laboratory test results derived from samples recovered from conventional geotechnical borings. Therefore, derived geotechnical parameters tend to be more reliable and representative of true strength and stiffness than those derived from laboratory tests.

Site assessments conducted using in situ testing can often be accomplished more easily, cheaper (see Robertson's Remarks) and quicker than assessments made with sampled soil borings and laboratory tests. Increased speed and lower cost allows for more emphasis to be placed on the spatial variation of the foundation materials. Significant savings to owners through the use of in situ testing has been demonstrated on numerous projects. In situ testing is often used to substantiate higher design bearing capacities than those derived from laboratory testing, thus providing for much more economical foundation designs.

Future Newsletters will describe each in situ testing technique in greater depth – stay tuned!

Contact Virgil with any comments or questions at: vbaker@greggdrilling.com

Join our mailing list at www.greggdrilling.com and receive the electronic version of "The Gregg GEO News".

QUICK FACT

Gregg Drilling is pleased to announce that Dr. Peter Robertson has formally joined the Gregg organization.

Peter will be leaving the University of Alberta and moving to Southern California on a more permanent basis and will work out of the Signal Hill location with Gregg In Situ. Peter leaves the University of Alberta after more than 25 years in academia. He is a recognized international expert in both site investigation and soil liquefaction. He is the co-author of the seminal book on the Cone Penetration Test, 'CPT in Geotechnical Practice'.

In his role with the Gregg organization Dr. Robertson will provide technical direction as well as strategic management advice. In addition to his responsibilities with Gregg, Dr. Robertson will continue to offer private consulting services to both national and international clients.

For more information about Dr. Robertson and his research and publications, visit his web site at:

www.cpt-robertson.com

NEW COURSE FORMAT for 2007 SHORT COURSES

The CPT short courses will be presented in a new format in the Spring of 2007. Cone penetration testing applications will be illustrated through discussions covering various case histories and worked examples of both geotechnical and environmental investigations.

COURSE SCHEDULE:

MONDAY - APRIL 2, 2007
CONCORD, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY - APRIL 4, 2007
*SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
(*geotechnical course only)

MONDAY - APRIL 9, 2007
HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA

For further details and registration forms
visit our web site at:

www.greggdrilling.com/seminars.htm

